In The United States District Court For The Middle

District of Mobile Alabama

Sohnny Landrum # 134871 Phintill 1957 (1881) A 9:40 2:07-CV-495-WKW

Dr. Sohn Allen Jones Defendant, Markette

Paintiffs Response To Court Order of Dec. 6,2007 Hainfiff Johnny Landrum comes to the court in answer of Ender dated 12-6-2007. Its Plaintiff understands the report of defendant, the primary Issue he raises is, as a contractor Dr. Jones is not bound by State law, just his contract with PHS. Plaintiff's argument is simple and based on Dr. Jones previous answer and his own context, He argued he wasn't a state contractor (first), this Court has already established that fact, he is a State Contractor (through PHS). The Plaintiff secondly asserts, his actions were under color at state law. Dr. vones can not perform his duty in the state of Phobama except, pursuant to Ababama laws and since the Plaintiff is in State Custody, and considered, ward of The State, (State property as told by D.O.C.) the facts are clear, Dr. Jones performed surgery upon a state inmate while being an independent contract employee of State Medical Contractor, Hison Health Services, acting under color of State laws. The Phintiff has already established and this court has agreed that it. Junes is acting as a state actor (reter to previous response of court and Plaintiff), The "Specialist Thysicians Agreement (Exhibit A) shows an going business agreement between PHS and Dr. Jones whom are both Contracted to the State of Alabama Dept. of Corrections. No agreement Setween Contractors changes the status of Plaintités Case or injuries. The agreement itself has absolutely nothing to do with the person caused injury and being liable for such actions. Dr. Jones Caused this injury.

Paintiff's response to Responsibility of State Actor.

Maintiff would ask the Court to refer to Exhibit (A) as entered by the attachment. Subsection (a), clause 1.3 of Specialist Algreement with PHS. "The services provided by specialist's hall be insured under liability insurance policy, pursuant, this agreement, however the amount has been blacked-out by marker by the detendant or counsel. The counsel for defendant is clearly engauged in prejudicing the outcome of this case and attering documents entered as evidence. (which only confirms the question of liability) in the interned context. In the interest of justice line#9 of para.#2(1,3@) defines Dr. Jones as independent contractor (b) line #4(c) tax responsibility. Clause .5 acting as an independent contractor, which only legally binds Dr. Jones and 445 as far as their business agreement and not responsibile party causing injury through professional services",.. to Plaintiff. (Clause 1.3 (a)) Maintiff asserts this agreement of stipulated facts has no bearing on the claims made by Plaintitt for in juries caused in the course of inconseration. Plaintiff is not a party to the agreement nor is he bound by the outcome, as his remedy as a prisoner comes from this Higher U.S. District (testend Court) and it's Constitutional Authority. The state actor denied responsibility in a state Court and now does the same in U.S. rederal Court, Implying that a private doctor is not bound under either law is ludicrous and erroneous and is the only remedy available for mal practice victims as an inmate a complaint to DO.C. ? The state courts are prejudice to an inmote, especially were a Specialist Doctor is converned and moving to USIDistrict Court was done by the defendant.

Argument in Support of Plaintiffs Rights U.S.C. *8

The issue of a contract is not a subject of whos liable. However stated, its only a mode of cloaking the essence of Subject matter by the detendant's coursel. The issue of a contract derived between two parties, under color at Ha, State Law is only relevant to the U.S. Courts adjudication where the two principles has a dispute... Clearly not relevant to actual injury of this Haintiff. Dr. Jones practice is so entwined as to be deemed a state actor and to be responsible for his actions in U.S. Vistrict Court due to the injury being sustained at the Doctor's hands. Hi inmate in Habama has a Constitutional Aight for redress in the Honorable U.S. Burt, especially when harmed Dy a State Contractor, private individual or other. At the time of medical malpractice, Dr. Jones was acting under colorand responsible for his actions by State law, and violating the Federally given, Federally protected rights of a citizen, created jurisdiction in U.S. District Court, Gretty V. Ala. Dept. of Corv. 996 F. Supp. 1368 (D. Ala. 1998) specifically applies. While acting under Ala State lace, Dr. Jones has violated this Plaintitis & Homend U.S. Constitutional rights, to be tree of "Cruel and Unusua / Hanishment"

Exhibit (B) 1.2 =6 is all about PHS and the specialist not holding each other liable for claims, damages and law suits of any kind what so ever. Basically, both are stipulating that infact their operating with immunity from each other, and being state Contractors above the

operations of each othersstaff.

This Court has authority to right a wrong and award damages to a man white imprisoned. The materiality of a contract servicen P.H.S. and Dr.Jones is trivial when a man is suffering due to the medical torture.

Contd. Kesponse To Courts Order Dec. 6, 2007
As the Court will notice, both Dr. Jones and P.H. Signed their agreement. Exhibit (B) Sect. 6 Hold Harmless and Indemitication has predetermined the responsibile party as Specialist, and is so authorized by his signature.

The Plaintiff just recently had a second surgery to Correct the attempted corrective implant by Dr. Jones. Seventeen long, paintal, grueling months went by before relief came to pass. Dr. Walker in Dothan Alabama fixed the eye as best possible. The pain and suffering for 17 months is more than any human should ever be made endure. For these recons, tacts, and U.S. Const. Hmend. #8 the ordeal amounts to Mal-practice, negligence, and long term medical texture by the defendant and the Court should Grant veliet by giving Haintiff the rights to a Jury Trial where others may weigh the facts, evidence and damages involved. The Plaintitt requests the court treat these pleadings as request for a Fair and Impartial Trail and or Summary Judgement in Favor of Haintitt and allow Monetary duringes be elevated to the sum of twenty (20) Million dollars. 17 months of extreme pain combined with mental anguish and severe loss of vision while imprisoned is extremely acueland Unusual Baishment. This court can liberally construe the deadings of Plaintiff and therefore reconize that constraints are of a nature total to healthy individuals. The bias and prejudice involved of being at the mercy of others while Suttering this ordeal is too much for human endurance. Haintitt Frays the Court to Grant Kelief and to reaftirm belief in Justice system and not that of Dig businesses and wealthy.

In The United States District Court For The Middle
District of Habana
Johnny Landrum #134871

Drugon H. Jones, et al.

Motion For Summary Judgement, in Plaintill's favor

Plaintiff comes in request for summary oudgement in the case of above title. Plaintiff moves the bourt due to the fact that Dhe is correct in his claims, I the law allows for lawsuit of this nature 3 Plaintiff has proven through pleadings, obcuments and evidence, that he is entitled to damages, 4) that the likelihood of the defendant's manufacturing an answer to dispute said facts is nill. The documents entered and facts stated have proven the defendant's actions and actual injury to be substantial. The Plaintiff has met the burden of proving 8th Amend Violations of cruel and unusual punishment at the huns of Di. John A. Jones and damages are in order for these reasons and in the interest of justice Plaintiff requests the Honorable U.S. District Court Brant Motion for Summary Judgement in favor of Johnny Landrum

Certificate of Service

I certify that I have served a true and some copy upon the Cherk, United States District Court, P.O. Box 711, montgomery, Ala. 36101-0711 by placing in U.S. mail upon this date.

Dec. 8th 2007

Pursuant 28U.S.C. and Under Femily of Perjury, I affirm the preceeding to be true and Correct.

Notice no copies available:

Whony Pandrum #134871 K.C.F. Post Office Box 150- H-55-A Mt. Meigs, Ha. 36507

* Exhibits attached

Johnny Landrum # 134871 Kilby C.F. P.O. Box 150 Mt. Meigs, Ala: 36057

2:07-CU-495-WKW

United States District Court P.O. Box 7/1 Montgomery, Hha. 36/01-07/1

Legal Mail

- 1.2 Fees for the **Specialist** Services: **PHS** agrees to reimburse at one hundred percent (100%) of the Alabama Medicare fee schedule for covered authorized services.
- General and Professional Liability Insurance: The Specialist shall be insured under a general and professional liability insurance policy covering services to be performed under this Agreement which provides a minimum coverage of per occurrence and in the annual aggregate, or a higher amount if such is required by State or local law, regulation or medical society practice.

Specialist shall make suitable and reasonable provision for financial coverage of PHS and Facility (and any party or parties, corporation, partnership, group or association acting, or failing to act on Specialist's behalf) consistent with this Agreement, for a period equal to the statute of limitations, for any actions brought or claims which may be made arising out of any of the services actually rendered, or which were failed to be rendered, under this Agreement following the termination of this independent contractor relationship for whatever reason.

- (b) Workers' Compensation: **PHS** shall not be responsible for providing worker's compensation coverage to **Specialist** or **Specialist's** employees if any since **Specialist** is an independent contractor. If such coverage is required by law **Specialist** shall be responsible for acquiring it.
- (c) Tax Liability: **Specialist** is solely responsible for any tax federal, state or local authorities as a result of this Agreement. **PHS** shall not withhold any taxes from payments made to **Specialist** under this Agreement, nor shall **PHS** be responsible for providing unemployment insurance coverage for **Specialist**.
- 5. STATUS: In performing services under this Agreement, Specialist shall be acting as an independent contractor. Under no circumstances, shall Specialist or any other person employed by or associated with the Specialist be treated as or hold themselves out to be as an employee of PHS. PHS shall exercise no control over the professional practice of medicine by Specialist in providing services under this Agreement and PHS shall have no retained right of control, express or implied, over the manner in which Specialist performs any services which in any way involve the practice of medicine under this Agreement. Specialist is not

Exhibit (A)

Filed 12/11/2007

- eligible for and may not participate in any pension, health or other fringe benefit plan offered by PHS to its employees.
- 6. **HOLD HARMLESS AND INDEMNIFICATION: PHS agrees** to indemnify and hold harmless Specialist and its agents and employees form any and all claims, damages and lawsuits of any kind whatsoever based upon the health care provided by PHS and any of its staff members.

Specialist agrees to indemnify and hold harmless PHS and its agents and employees from any and all claims, damages and lawsuits of any kind whatsoever based upon the acts or omissions of Specialist or any of its staff members, employees or agents.

Remainder of page intentionally left blank.

Exhibit (B)